

## Covert exhaustifier or not? Child language can help

Shuyan Wang

University of Connecticut

NELS 51, 6-8 November 2020, UQAM

**Introduction:** Mandarin particle *dou* ‘all’ can license preverbal free choice items (FCIs), like *wh*-phrases and disjunction. For example, (1a) is a *wh*-question, while (1b), with *dou*, is a declarative statement with a universal FC ( $\forall$ -FC) reading. (2a) has a plain disjunction reading, while (2b), with *dou*, has a  $\forall$ -FC reading. Xiang (2020) suggests the  $\forall$ -FC reading of both (1b) and (2b) is attributed to the semantics of *dou* (3).

The  $\forall$ -FC reading of disjunction is prohibited in an episodic context (4a) or with a universal modal (4b). This is the Modal Obviation effect. Thus, Xiang (2020) argues for a covert *O*-exhaustifier in ‘disjunction + *dou*’ (5). However, ‘*wh*-phrase + *dou*’ sometimes (*but not always*) may appear in an episodic context (6). Therefore, Xiang (2020) does not discuss whether there is any *O*-exhaustifier for *wh*-phrases.

In this case, child language can contribute to the theoretical analysis. Since the  $\forall$ -FC reading of both ‘*wh*-phrase + *dou*’ and ‘disjunction + *dou*’ is related to the semantics of *dou*, children in principle should acquire both constructions around the same time. However, if there is a covert *O*-exhaustifier in ‘disjunction + *dou*’ but not ‘*wh*-phrase + *dou*’, it is highly possible that children acquire the former later than the latter, because the extra *O*-exhaustifier in ‘disjunction + *dou*’ may complicate the learning process.

Some studies suggest that 4- and 5-year-old Mandarin-speaking children can get the  $\forall$ -FC reading of ‘*wh*-phrase + *dou*’ (e.g., Huang et al. 2017; Zhou 2013). However, few studies have investigated children’s interpretation of ‘disjunction + *dou*’. This paper aims to fill the gap, by providing *within-subject* data on these two *dou*-constructions.

**‘Disjunction + *dou*’ study:** TVJ task was used in prediction mode (Crain & Thornton 1998). Two test constructions: ‘disjunction + deontic modal’ and ‘disjunction + *dou* + deontic modal’. Two different contexts: one disjunct was true or both disjuncts were true. There were 4 items for each of the four conditions (7). 4 fillers were included.

**Results:** 17 Mandarin-speaking children (5-8;04, mean 6;11) and 10 adults were involved. Results are shown in (8). In 1-disjunct-true scenarios, adults *rejected* the *dou*-construction, while children frequently *accepted* it (70.6%). It suggests that children failed to derive the FC reading of ‘disjunction + *dou*’.

**‘Wh-phrase + *dou*’ study:** Laptop-based Question-Statement task (Zhou & Crain 2011). The experimenter narrated a story, and Kermit made an utterance. The child judged whether Kermit had made a statement or asked a question. If it was a statement, the child need judge whether it was right or wrong. If it was a question, the child needed to answer it.

**Materials:** The test sentences include ‘*wh*-phrase + deontic modal’ and ‘*wh*-phrase + *dou* + deontic modal’ (see (1)). 4 items were created for each structure: 2 True, 2 False. 4 fillers were included.

**Results:** It involved the same participants as Experiment 1. Results are given in (9). Both adults and children could distinguish the question/statement difference and got the  $\forall$ -FC reading of ‘*wh*-phrase + *dou*’ (100% and 95.59% respectively).

**Conclusion:** The results showed that Mandarin-speaking children could derive the  $\forall$ -FC reading of ‘*wh*-phrases + *dou*’, but not that of ‘disjunction + *dou*’. The results provide support for the proposal that there is a covert *O*-exhaustifier in ‘disjunction + *dou*’ but not ‘*wh*-phrases + *dou*’. Under this proposal, it is unsurprising that children acquire the latter earlier than the former, because the former involves a covert *O*-exhaustifier. To sum up, the findings have contributed to our understanding of *language development* as well as the *theoretical framework*.

- (1). a. Shei keyi jiao shuxue?  
who can teach Math  
'Who can teach Math?' | b. Shei dou keyi jiao shuxue.  
who all can teach Math  
'Everyone can teach Math.'
- (2). a. Yuyu huozhe Lisi keyi jiao shuxue.  
Yuyu or Lisi can teach Math  
'Yuyu or Lisi can teach Math.' | b. Yuyu huozhe Lisi dou keyi jiao shuxue.  
Yuyu or Lisi all can teach Math  
'Both Yuyu and Lisi can teach Math.'
- (3).  $[[dou_C]] = \lambda p \lambda w: \exists q \in S_{UB}(p, C). p(w) = 1 \wedge \forall q \in S_{UB}(p, C) [O_C(q)(w) = 0]$   
 $[[dou]](p)$  is defined only if  $p$  has at least one sub-alternative; when defined,  $[[dou]](p)$  is true if and only if  $p$  is true and the exhaustification of each sub-alternative of  $p$  is false.)
- (4). a. \*Yuyu huozhe Lisi dou jiao-le shuxue.  
Yuyu or Lisi all teach-ASP Math  
Int: 'Both Yuyu and Lisi taught Math.' | b. \*Yuyu huozhe Lisi dou bixu jiao shuxue.  
Yuyu or Lisi all must teach Math  
Int: 'Both Yuyu and Lisi must teach Math.'
- (5). John or Mary **dou** can teach Chinese.  
a. LF: **douc** [s [John or Mary]  $\lambda x$  can [ $O_C$  [ $VP_x$  teach Chinese]]]  
b.  $[[S]] = \Diamond O_C \phi_j \vee \Diamond O_C \phi_m$ , where  $\phi_x = x$  teach Intro Chinese  
c.  $[[dou_C(S)]] = [\Diamond O_C \phi_j \vee \Diamond O_C \phi_m] \wedge \neg O_C \Diamond O_C \phi_j \wedge \neg O_C \Diamond O_C \phi_m$   
 $= \Diamond O_C \phi_j \wedge \Diamond O_C \phi_m$  (Adopted from Xiang 2020)
- (6). Shei dou jiao-guo hanyu.  
who all teach-EXP Chinese  
'Everyone has taught Chinese.'
- (7). The four conditions of 'disjunction + dou' study:

	One disjunct was true	Two disjuncts were true
'disjunction+ modal'	Condition 1	Condition 2
'disjunction + dou + modal'	<b>Condition 3 (Critical)</b>	Condition 4

- (8). Acceptance rate of four conditions (Experiment 1):

	Condition 1	Condition 2	<b>Condition 3</b>	Condition 4
Children (n=17)	100%	100%	<b>70.6%</b>	100%
Adults (n=10)	100%	40%	<b>0%</b>	100%

- (9). Accuracy of each construction (Experiment 2):

	'wh-phrase + modal'	'wh-phrase + <b>dou</b> + modal'
Children (n=17)	100%	<b>95.59%</b>
Adults (n=10)	100%	<b>100%</b>

**Selected references:** Huang, Haiquan, Zhou, Peng and Crain, Stephen. (2017). *Wh*-Questions, Universal Statements and Free Choice Inferences in Child Mandarin. *J Psycholinguist Res.* Xiang, Yimei. (2020). Function alternations of the Mandarin particle *dou*: Distributor, free choice licenser, and 'even'. *Journal of Semantics.*