Phonological reflexes of movement in morphology: An argument for Harmonic Serialism

Daniel Gleim, Gereon Müller, Mariia Privizentseva & Sören Tebay

Institut für Linguistik Universität Leipzig

NELS 51 November 06-08, 2020 UQAM

Main Claims

- Harmonic Serialist approach in Müller (2020) systematically predicts phonological reflexes of affix movement. Other approaches do not.
- Inflectional exponents have to be merged at the root due to the Strict Cycle Condition.
- Subsequently, movement of exponent helps to satisfy linearization constraint.
- Thus, the model in Müller (2020) is supported by such reflexes.

Background: Reflexes of Movement

Observation:

Reflexes of syntactic movement are ubiquitous:

- syntactic reflexes: reconstruction of binding, theta-role assignment, etc.
- semantic reflexes: reconstruction to intermediate positions (Fox (2000), Nissenbaum (2000))
- morphological reflexes: allomorph selection (McCloskey (1979), Urk (2015), Georgi (2017))
- phonological reflexes: Clements et al. (1983), Korsah & Murphy (2019) on tone

Harmonic Serialism

- (1) Harmonic serialism (McCarthy (2010; 2016), Heck & Müller (2013; 2016)):
 - a. Given some input I_i , the candidate set $CS_i = \{O_{i1}, O_{i2}, ... O_{in}\}$ is generated by applying at most *one operation* to I_i .
 - b. The output O_{ij} with the best constraint profile is selected as optimal.
 - c. O_{ij} forms the input I_{ij} for the next generation step producing a new candidate set $CS_j = \{O_{ij1}, O_{ij2}, ... O_{ijn}\}$.
 - d. The output O_{ijk} with the best constraint profile is selected as optimal.
 - e. Candidate set generation stops (i.e., the derivation converges) when the output of an optimization procedure is identical to the input (i.e., when the constraint profile cannot be improved anymore).

Harmonic Serialism in Inflectional Morphology

Assumptions (Müller (2020)):

- Inflectional morphology is realizational, not inferential.
 (See Stump (2001; 2016), Corbett & Fraser (1993), Brown & Hippisley (2012), Halle & Marantz (1993), Noyer (1997).)
- Inflectional morphology is lexical, not inferential. (See Halle & Marantz (1993), Trommer (2011) vs. Anderson (1992), Stump (2001).)
- transformations.
 (See Alexiadou & Müller (2008), Bruening (2017) vs. Halle & Marantz (1993), Ackema & Neeleman (2004), Caha (2013), De Clercq & Vanden Wyngaerd (2017).)

Inflectional morphology is Merge-based, not based on substitution

 Inflectional morphology is pre-syntactic, not post-syntactic, parallel, or syntax-internal. (The Strict Cycle Condition blocks operations from applying exclusively to embedded domains; Chomsky (1973; 1995; 2008): Post-syntactic morphological exponence by Merge (or by substitution transformation) is inherently counter-cyclic.)

Crucial Assumptions

- Stems in the enumeration bear structure-building features.
- Merge Conditions trigger merger of exponents with matching features.
- Ranking of Merge Conditions is determined by the functional sequence (Starke (2001)).
- Additional alignment constraints determine the order of exponents (Trommer (2001; 2008), Ryan (2010)).
- Fully inflected words are transferred to syntax.

Abstract Patterns

In harmonic serialism, morphological movement can arise under iterative optimization when the ranking of two MCs is parallel to the ranking of the respective alignment constraints (and the MCs outrank the alignment constraints). No such movement can occur in standard OT.

(2) Initial input: a.

> A stem A with two structure-building features $[\bullet \alpha \bullet]$, $[\bullet \beta \bullet]$; $[\alpha B]$ and $[\beta C]$ are the exponents that best satisfy faithfulness constraints (compatibility, specificity).

- b. Constraints: $MC_{\alpha} \gg MC_{\beta}$; $\alpha \Rightarrow R$, $\beta \Rightarrow R$; L $\Leftarrow A$.
- (3) Rankings (i):
 - $MC(\alpha) \gg MC(\beta) \gg L \Leftarrow A \gg \beta \Rightarrow R \gg \alpha \Rightarrow R$
 - $MC(\alpha) \gg MC(\beta) \gg L \Leftarrow A \gg \alpha \Rightarrow R \gg \beta \Rightarrow R$
- a. $[A [A A B_{\alpha}] C_{\beta}]$ (4)

b. [A [A [A A]] C_{β}] B_{α}]

- (5) Rankings (ii):
 - $MC(\alpha) \gg MC(\beta) \gg A \Rightarrow R \gg L \Leftarrow \beta \gg L \Leftarrow \alpha$
 - $MC(\alpha) \gg MC(\beta) \gg A \Rightarrow R \gg L \Leftarrow \alpha \gg L \Leftarrow \beta$
- a. $\left[A C_{\beta} \left[A B_{\alpha} A \right] \right]$ (6)

b. $[_A \ B_{\alpha} \ [_A \ C_{\beta} \ [_A \ A \]]$

German Verb Inflection 1

- (7) $kauf-te-st \leftarrow kauf ('buy'), [2], [SG], [PAST]$
- (8) Harmonic serialism, step 1: Merge of /te/ [PAST]

I_1 : $[V]$ kauf]: $[\bullet T \bullet]$, $[\bullet Agr \bullet]$,					
[2], [SG], [PAST],					
$\{[_{\mathrm{T}}/te/\leftrightarrow[_{\mathrm{PAST}}],\dots\},\{[_{\mathrm{Agr}}/st/\leftrightarrow[_{\mathrm{2.SG}}],\dots\}\}$	MC_{T}	MC_{Agr}	L∉V	$Agr \Rightarrow R$	T⇒R
O_{11} : $[_{\mathrm{V}}$ kauf $]$: $[ulletTullet]$, $[ulletAgrullet]$	*!	*			
		*			
O_{13} : [V kauf-st]: [\bullet T \bullet]	*!				
O_{14} : [V te-kauf]: [\bullet Agr \bullet]		*	*!		*
O_{15} : [$_{ m V}$ st-kauf]: [$ullet$ T $ullet$]	*!		*	*	

(9) Harmonic serialism, step 2: Merge of /st/ [2.sg]

I ₁₂ : [_V kauf-te]: [●Agr●],					
[2], [SG], [PAST],					
$\{ \dots \}, \{[A_{\mathrm{gr}} / st / \leftrightarrow [2.SG]], \dots \}$	MC_T	MC_{Agr}	L∉V	$Agr \Rightarrow R$	T⇒R
O_{121} : [V kauf-te]: [$ullet$ Agr $ullet$]		*!			
${\mathbb F}_{0:2}$: [$_{ m V}$ [$_{ m V}$ kauf-te]-st]					*
O_{123} : $[_{\mathrm{V}}$ st- $[_{\mathrm{V}}$ kauf-te $]]$			*!	**	

German Verb Inflection 2

(10) Harmonic serialism, step 3: Convergence

I_{122} : [$_{ m V}$ [$_{ m V}$ kauf-te]-st]					
[2], [SG], [PAST],					
{ }, { }	MC_T	MC_{Agr}	L∉V	Agr⇒R	T⇒R
${\mathbb F}_{01221}$: [$_{ m V}$ [$_{ m V}$ kauf-te]-st]					*
O_{1222} : [$_{\mathrm{V}}$ st-[$_{\mathrm{V}}$ kauf-te]]			*!	**	
O_{1223} : [$_{\mathrm{V}}$ te-[$_{\mathrm{V}}$ [$_{\mathrm{V}}$ kauf]-st]]			*!		**
O_{1224} : [$_{\mathrm{V}}$ [$_{\mathrm{V}}$ [$_{\mathrm{V}}$ kauf]-st]-te]				*!	

(11) Derivation in harmonic serialism:

$$[_{V} \text{ kauf }] \rightarrow [_{V} \text{ } [_{V} \text{ kauf }] \text{ te }] \rightarrow [_{V} \text{ } [_{V} \text{ } [_{V} \text{ kauf }] \text{ te }] \text{ st }] \rightarrow [_{V} \text{ } [_{V} \text{ kauf }] \text{ te }] \text{ st }]$$

Conclusion:

No movement of exponents.

Berber Verb Inflection 1

(12)ad-y-seg ('FUT-3.MASC.SG-buy'; 'He will buy.') ← seg, [3], [SG], [MASC], [FUT]

Assumption (Ouhalla (1991)): T and Agr exponents are prefixes; the surface order is counter-f-seq. (See Noyer (1992), Frampton (2002) for complications: suffixes.)

(13)Harmonic serialism, step 1: Merge of /ad/ [FUT]:

I_1 : $[V \text{ seg}]$: $[\bullet T \bullet]$, $[\bullet Agr \bullet]$,					
[3], [SG], [MASC], [FUT],					
$\{[_{\mathrm{T}} / ad / \leftrightarrow [_{\mathrm{FUT}}]], \dots \}, \{[_{\mathrm{Agr}} / y / \leftrightarrow [3.\mathrm{SG.MASC}]], \dots \}$	MC_T	MC_{Agr}	V⇒R	L⇔T	L⇔Agr
O ₁₁ : [_V seg]: [●T●], [●Agr●]	*!	*			
O ₁₂ : [_V seg-y]: [●T●]	*!		*	*	
O_{13} : [$_{ m V}$ seg-ad]: [$ullet$ Agr $ullet$]		*	*!		*
O ₁₄ : [_V y-seg]: [●T●]	*!				
$^{\text{\tiny{IS}}}O_{15}$: [$^{\text{\tiny{V}}}$ ad-seg]: [$^{\text{\tiny{\bullet}}}Agr^{\text{\tiny{\bullet}}}$]		*			

(14)Harmonic serialism, step 2: Merge of /y/ [3.SG.MASC]

I_{15} : [V ad-seg]: [\bullet Agr \bullet],					
[3], [SG], [MASC], [FUT],					
$\{ \dots \}, \{ [Agr /y/\leftrightarrow [3.SG.MASC]], \dots \}$	MC_T	MC_{Agr}	$V \Rightarrow R$	L⇔T	L⇔Agr
O_{151} : [V ad-seg]: [\bullet Agr \bullet]		*!			
			*!		**

Berber Verb Inflection 2

Note:

There is no competing output that merges /y/ between /ad/ and /seg/, and thereby circumvents a violation of higher-ranked $L \Leftarrow T$ in (14) (by violating lower-ranked $L \Leftarrow Agr$). Such a counter-cyclic merge operation would violate the Strict Cycle Condition.

(15) Harmonic serialism, step 3: Movement of /ad/ [FUT]

I_{153} : [$_{ m V}$ y-[$_{ m V}$ ad-seg]],					
[3], [SG], [MASC], [FUT],					
{ }, { }	MC_{T}	MC_{Agr}	V⇒R	L⇔T	L⇔Agr
O_{1531} : $[_{\mathrm{V}}$ y- $[_{\mathrm{V}}$ ad-seg]]				*!	
${}^{\bowtie}O_{1532}$: [${}^{\vee}$ ad-[${}^{\vee}$ y-[${}^{\vee}$ seg]]]					*
O_{1533} : $[_{\mathrm{V}}\ [_{\mathrm{V}}\ [_{\mathrm{V}}\ ad-seg]]$ -y $]$			*!		**
O_{1534} : [$_{ m V}$ [$_{ m V}$ y-[$_{ m V}$ seg]]-ad]			*!	**	

(16) Derivation in harmonic serialism:

$$[_V \text{ seg }] \rightarrow [_V \text{ ad } [_V \text{ seg }]] \rightarrow [_V \text{ y } [_V \text{ ad } [_V \text{ seg }]]] \rightarrow [_V \text{ ad } [_V \text{ y } [_V \text{ seg }]]]$$

Conclusion:

There is movement of inflectional exponents.

Standard Parallel Optimality Theory

Note:

The same ranking of these constraints predicts direct surface generation (i.e., no movement) in standard parallel optimality theory.

(17) Berber verb inflection in standard parallel optimality theory:

I ₁ : [_V seg]: [●T●], [●Agr●],					
[3], [SG], [MASC], [FUT],					
$\{[T /ad/\leftrightarrow [FUT]], \}, \{[Agr /y/\leftrightarrow [3.SG.MASC]], \}$	MC_T	MC_{Agr}	V⇒R	L⇔T	L⇔Agr
O_{11} : [V seg]: [\bullet T \bullet], [\bullet Agr \bullet]	*!	*			
O ₁₂ : [_V seg-y]: [●T●]	*!		*		
O_{13} : $[_{\mathrm{V}} seg-ad]$: $[\bullet Agr \bullet]$		*	*!		
O_{14} : [V y-seg]: [\bullet T \bullet]	*!				
O_{15} : $[_{\mathrm{V}}$ ad-seg $]$: $[\bulletAgr\bullet]$		*			
${\mathbb F}_0$: [${}_{ m V}$ ad-[${}_{ m V}$ y-seg]]					*
O_{17} : [$_{ m V}$ y-[$_{ m V}$ ad-seg]]				*!	
O_{18} : [V [V y-seg]-ad]			*!	**	
$O_{19} \colon \left[_{V} \; [_{V \; ad\text{-}seg]\text{-}y]} \right]$			*!		**
O_{20} : [V [V seg-ad]-y]			*!*	*	**
O_{21} : [V [V seg-y]-ad]			*!*	**	*

Cycles

Claim:

- Phonological operations apply to the output of a morphological cycle, and there are two morphological cycles:
 - The first morphological cycle is finished when all MC-triggered Merge operations have applied (i.e., the word is potentially complete for the first time).
 - 2 The second morphological cycle is finished when the derivation has converged on a final output.
- The characterization of the first morphological cycle as a "potentially complete" word where all structure-building features have been discharged bears an obvious resemblance to Chomsky's (2000) characterization of phases (cf. "a verb phrase in which all θ -roles are assigned"); an analogous reasoning could be provided for the second morphological cycle ("full clause"). See Marvin (2002), Embick (2010).

Barwar Aramaic De-spirantization

In Barwar Aramaic (Iraq, Khan (2008)), a regular local phonological process turns dental fricatives into stops, if they precede coronal sonorants.

- (18) De-spirantization $\delta, \theta \rightarrow d, t / I, n.$
- (19) a. [jadli] $/j\langle a\rangle \delta l\text{-}i/ \\ lay.eggs\langle PRS\rangle -PL \\ \text{`They lay eggs.'}$

```
b. [\deltailla]
/j\delta\langle i\ranglel-la/
lay.eggs\langle PAST\rangle-3SG.F.SUBJ
'She laid eggs.'
```

Overapplication 1

De-spirantization is expectedly triggered if l-initial agreement morphology attaches to θ/δ final stems.

(20)
$$[t^y ridle]$$

 $/t^y r \langle i \rangle \delta - le/$
chase.away (PAST)-3SG.SBJ
'He chased away.'

However, it (optionally) overapplies if the REMOTE marker /-wa/ intervenes.

(21)
$$[t^{y}ridwale] \sim [t^{y}ri\deltawale]$$

 $/t^{y}r\langle i\rangle\delta$ -wa-le/
chase.away $\langle PAST\rangle$ -REMOTE-3SG.SBJ
'He had chased away.'

Overapplication 2

Neither the phoneme [w] nor the affix /-wa/ trigger de-spirantization by themselves.

(22) a.
$$[ka\theta wa]$$

 $/k\langle a\rangle \theta w - a/$
write $\langle PRS \rangle$ -3SG.F
'She writes.'

Analysis

Summary:

- The agreement affix merges first, followed by /-wa/.
- In a first phonological cycle de-spirantization applies locally.
- Agreement moves across /-wa/ to the right edge, making de-spirantization opaque.
- (23) a. t^yrið-le-wa
 - b. t^yrid-le-wa
 - c. t^yrid- -wa-<mark>le</mark>

First morphological cycle 1

- MC_{Agr} , which triggers the insertion of agreement morphology, outranks $MC_{Adv:T}$ which is responsible for the merging of /-wa/.
- Therefore, /-le/ is merged first
- (24) Harmonic serialism, step 1: Merge of /le/ [3..SG.MASC]

First morphological cycle 2

- At the next step, /-wa/ is merged.
- this necessarily induces a violation of Agr⇒R because
 - interfixing /-wa/ between the root and /-le/ is impossible due to strict cyclicity.
 - suffixing /-wa/ and moving /-le/ across it would involve two operations.
 - prefixing /-wa/ to the stem violates higher ranked $L \Rightarrow V$

(25) Harmonic serialism, step 2: Merge of /wa/ [REMOTE]

I_{12} : $[V [V t^{\gamma} r \langle i \rangle \delta] - Ie]$: $[\bullet Adv: T \bullet]$,					
[3], [SG], [MASC] [PAST],					
$\{ \dots \}, \{[_{\mathrm{Adv:T}} / wa / \leftrightarrow [_{\mathrm{REMOTE}}]], \dots \}$	MC_{Agr}	$MC_{Adv:T}$	L∉V	Agr⇒R	Adv:T⇒R
O_{121} : $[V \ V \ t^{\gamma} r \langle i \rangle \delta \]-le]$: $[\bullet Adv:T \bullet]$		*!			
${\mathbb F}O_{122}$: $[{}_{ m V}\ [{}_{ m V}\ {}_{ m V}\ {}_{ m V}{}_{ m f}{}_{ m v}{}_{ m f}{}_{ m v}{}_{ m f}{}_{ m o}{}_{ m o}{}_{$				*	
O_{123} : [V wa-[V [V t^{γ} r(i) δ]-le]]			*!		**

Phonological cycle

- All merge conditions are satisfied, so that the first cycle of phonological computation is triggered.
- The exact nature of the phonological computation is not crucial It can be rules, globally optimising constraints, or HS as well.
- Morphological brackets must not be erased.

$$(26) \qquad [[[t^{\gamma} r \langle i \rangle \eth \] \text{-le}] \text{-wa}] \rightarrow [[[t^{\gamma} r \langle i \rangle d \] \text{-le}] \text{-wa}]$$

The output is shipped back to morphology.

Second Morphological cycle

In the next morphological cycle, the lower ranked linearization constraints can induce movement.

- Agr⇒R outranks Adv:T⇒R.
- Movement of /-le/ across /-wa/ thus improves the violation profile.

Harmonic serialism, step 3: Movement of /le/ [3..SG.MASC]

I_{122} : $V_V V_V t^y r(i)d$ [-le]-wa] [3], [SG], [MASC] [PAST],					
{ }, { }	MC_{Agr}	$\mathrm{MC}_{\mathrm{Adv:T}}$	L∉V	$Agr \Rightarrow R$	Adv:T⇒R
O_{1221} : $[V [V [V t^{\gamma} r(i)d]-le]-wa]$				*!	
${\mathbb F}^{O}_{1222}$: [V [V [V [V t^{γ} r(i)d]]-wa]-le]					*
O_{1223} : [V wa-[V [V t^y r(i)d]-le]]			*!		**
O_{1224} : [$_{ m V}$ le-[$_{ m V}$ [$_{ m V}$ t $^{ m y}$ r $\langle { m i} angle { m d}$]]-wa]			*!	**	

- The next step is convergence, the morphological computation is finished and a new cycle of phonology is induced.
- Morphological movement counterbleeds de-spirantization.



Prediction

There should be no overapplication if the affix intervening between subject agreement and the root is merged before subject agreement, i. e. is below it on the f-seq. This is indeed the case — object agreement transparently blocks de-spirantization.

```
(28) [t^{y}ri\delta ale] *[t^{y}ridale] / t^{y}r\langle i\rangle \delta -a-le/ chase.away\langle PAST \rangle-F.OBJ-3SG.M.SBJ 'He chased her away.'
```

Sanskrit ruki-rule

In Sanskrit, the ruki-rule applies non-locally across the tense prefix /a-/ (Kiparsky 1982).

- (29)Ruki-rule
 - $s \rightarrow s/\{r,u,k,i\}$
- (30)siñc- 'sprinkle'
 - abhi-siñc- 'anoint', 'pour on'
 - - abhy-a-siñc-at 'anointed', 'poured on'
- (31)a-abhi-siñc
 - a-abhi-siñc
 - abhi-a- -siñc

- (compound verb)
- (IMPERFECTIVE, 3sg)'
 - abhi merges first
 - ruki-rule
 - abhi moves across a



Lithuanian accent shift

In Lithuanian, Saussurean accent shift applies across an unaccented mora, iff it belongs to a theme vowel (Kushnir 2018).

(32)Saussurean Accent Shift

> Whenever two underlying accents coincide on two subsequent moras word-finally, the surface accent is aligned with the right edge of the word.

- (33) $k\acute{a}_{\mu}i_{\mu}m + \acute{e}_{\mu} \rightarrow k\acute{a}im-e$
 - $ra_{\mu}\acute{n}_{\mu}k + \acute{a}_{\mu} \rightarrow rank\acute{a}$
- (34)žín-ú
 - b. žin-ú
 - žín-ú-a

 - žin- -a-ú

(No accent shift) (transparent accent shift)

(Opaque accent shift)

only agreement merges Accent shift

Theme vowel merges

Agr moves across theme vowel

Kazakh vowel harmony

In Kazakh, the invariant [+front] affix /-men/ does not block root-controlled vowel harmony from targeting the question particle /-BA/ across itself (Bowman & Lokshin 2014). The vowel /e/ is not generally transparent.

- (35) a. bul fal nan-men-ba
 bul fal nan-men-bA
 this old.man bread-INSTR-Q
 'ls this an old man with some bread?'
 - b. bul ʃal bøbek-men-be
 bul ʃal bøbek-men-bA
 this old.man baby-INSTR-Q
 'ls this an old man with a baby?'
- (36) a. nan-BA-men
 - b. nan-<mark>ba</mark>-men
 - c. nan- -men-ba

Q merges before Instr Vowel harmony Q moves across Instr

Epenthesis in Quechua

Overview: In Bolivian and Huallaga Quechua, epenthesis of CV applies even though morphological exponents that would trigger it are separated by other exponents (see Bills et al. (1969), Weber (1989), and Myler (2013)).

- (37) a. *Affix₁-Affix₃ is ruled out and epenthesis applies Affix₁-CV-Affix₃
 - b. Affix₁-CV-Affix₂-Affix₃
 - c. Affix₁-Affix₂

Conditions on epenthesis

Ni is inserted to prevent creation of super-heavy syllables, i.e., syllables which nucleus and coda have three or more moras (Myler (2013)). V/C – μ ; V: – $\mu\mu$.

- (38) a. Allowed: CV CVC CV:b. Prohibited: CV:C(C*) CVCC(C*)
- (39) Huallaga Quechua, -C
 - a. uma-n b. mayur-ni-n c. papa:-ni-n head-3.POSS older-NI-3.POSS father-NI-3.POSS 'his head' 'my older (sibling)' 'his father'

Note: /II/ stands for a lamino-palatal lateral, /IIa/ 'just' does not trigger *ni*-insertion:

(40) chay-yaq-lla that-LIM-just 'just to there'

Overapplication in Quechua

Ni can be also present when two affixes that create environment for its insertion are separated by another exponent IIa 'just'.

(41) Bolivian Quechua

- a. *wawa-s-y child-PL-1POSS 'my children'
- c. *wawa-s-lla-y child-PL-just-1.POSS 'just my children'
- child-PL-NI-1POSS

b. wawa-s-ni-v

d. wawa-s-ni-lla-y child-PL-NI-just-1.POSS

(42) Huallaga Quechua

- a. *kikish-yki armpit-2.POSS 'your armpit'
- c. kikish-ni-lla-yki armpit-NI-just-2.POSS 'just your armpit'

- b. kik<mark>ish</mark>-ni-ki armpit-NI-2.POSS
- d. kik<mark>ish</mark>-lla-yki armpit-just-2.POSS
- Note 1: /yki/ used starts with two consonants, but the glide is deleted after /i/.
- Note 2: Complex syllable onsets are also ruled out (i.e., *CCV).

Analysis of *ni*-insertion

Claim: Ni-insertion always applies locally when exponents creating a super-heavy syllable are adjacent to each other. The configuration is later destroyed by movement of the possessive affix.

Constraints and their ranking:

- [Poss] is part of the f-seq of nominal categories, while optional exponents like lla 'just' are not. $\leadsto \mathsf{MC}_{\mathrm{Poss}} \gg \mathsf{MC}_{\mathrm{Lim}(\mathrm{itiation})}$
- Alignment constraints are ranked in the same way: $\rightsquigarrow Poss \Rightarrow R \gg Lim \Rightarrow R$
- L

 N ensures that exponents are suffixal, it outranks other alignment
 constraints.

Derivation of *ni*-epenthesis, Bolivian Quechua 1

(43) Harmonic serialism, step 1: Merge of /y/[1.POSS]

(44) Harmonic serialism, step 2: Merge of /lla/ [LIM]

At this point, all MCs are satisfied and the first morphological cycle is completed!

Derivation of *ni*-epenthesis, Bolivian Quechua 2

The output of the first morphological cycle [$_{\rm N}$ [$_{\rm N}$ wawa-s]-y]-lla] is subject to phonological operations.

(45) Ni breaks a super-heavy syllable wawa-s-y-lla — wawa-s-ni-y-lla

After this, the morphological derivation continues:

(46) Harmonic serialism, step 3: Movement of /y/ [1.POSS]

I_{122} : $[_N [_N wawa-s]-ni-y]-lla],$					
[PL], [1.POSS],					
{ }, { }	MC_{Poss}	MC_{Lim}	L⇔N	Poss⇒R	Lim⇒R
O_{1221} : [N [N wawa-s]-ni-y]-lla]				*!	
${}^{\mathbb{Z}}O_{1222}$: [N [N [N wawa-s]-ni-]-lla]-y]					*
O_{1223} : [N IIa- [N [N [N wawa-s]-ni-]-y]]			*!		***

Summary: Seemingly non-local epenthesis is analyzed as strictly local operation followed by movement of the possessive exponent.



Non-local phonology: Agreement by Correspondence (see Hansson (2001), Rose & Walker (2004), Rhodes (2012))

Summary:

- Non-local processes are derived by establishing correspondence between the undergoing segments and forcing them to agree in some feature values.
- The (possibly linearly intervening) segment that neither undergoes nor blocks the process stays outside of the correspondence class due to a special diacritic (see Bowman & Lokshin (2014) on non-local vowel harmony in Kazakh).

Discussion:

- It's not immediately clear whether the approach can be applied to epenthesis
 or accent shift.
- Modularity is weakened or even absent: Phonological processes access a diacritic without any phonological content.
- · Non-local phonology is assumed as a default.



Counter-Cyclic Movement in Morphology and Interfixation

Summary:

Phonology applies locally; an intervening segment is inserted later.

Discussion:

- Interfixation (Kiparsky (1982; 2017), Hyman (1994; 2002; 2003), Kushnir (2018)): It incurs a clear violation of the Strict Cycle Condition.
- Lowering (Myler (2013)): Under standard assumptions (see Embick & Noyer (2001)), it applies before linearization and vocabulary insertion, i.e., morphemes in their non-lowered order have no phonological features, so that no phonological interaction between them is possible.
- Local Dislocation:
 - There is no well-defined trigger for dislocating exponents.
 - Assumptions needed to derive non-local processes are incompatible with previously established applications of LD; see the positioning of reflexive si in Lithuanian (Embick & Noyer (2001; 2007), Embick (2007)).

Phonological reflexes of movement in morphology

Novel algorithm of vocabulary insertion (Myler (2017))

Summary:

- Relevant configurations exhibit counter-scopal morpheme order and are derived by movement of a syntactic object into the specifier of a higher head.
- Vocabulary insertion counts depth of embedding by a number of categorially distinct maximal projections so that a specifier of a given head receives phonological features before the head itself. This allows processes between the specifier and the complement to apply locally.

Discussion:

- The approach allows for non-local phonological processes in configurations that are not derived by movement.
- Syntactic movement has to apply without a clear trigger.

Base-derivative faithfulness (Benua (1997), Kenstowicz (2002), Albright (2002)) Summary:

 A form with local application of a phonological process is the base for the derivation of the form containing the intervening affix. Faithfulness to the base is ranked high so that processes applies without a phonological context.

Discussion:

- There is no justification for choosing the required form as a base.
- Unless forms of a single word have distinct bases (pace Albright (2002)), phonological processes are wrongly predicted to apply across any intervener.

Stratal OT with constraint re-ranking

Summary:

 Affixes are adjacent and phonology applies locally at an earlier stratum. Order is changed at a later stratum, while the phonological effects are preserved.

Discussion:

- To the best of our knowledge, such an analysis was not yet proposed.
- The approach is essentially very similar to the current one in having two morphological cycles interleaved with phonological processes, but it additionally involves re-ranking of constraints.

Conclusions

- Strictly local phonological processes apply seemingly non-locally across an intervening exponent. The data come from:
 - De-spirantization in Barwar Aramaic;
 - ruki rule application in Sanskrit;
 - · Saussurean accent shift in Lithuanian;
 - Vowel harmony in Kazakh
 - Syllable epenthesis in Quechua;
- We argue that these patterns are best analyzed as phonological reflexes of movement in morphology.
- In harmonic serialism, morphological movement arises when the ranking of two MCs is parallel to the ranking of the respective alignment constraints (and the MCs outrank the alignment constraints).
- Phonological operations apply to the output of the first morphological cycle that is completed when all MC-triggered Merge operations have applied.

References

- Ackema, Peter & Ad Neeleman (2004): Beyond Morphology. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Angeles.

 Alexiadou, Artemis & Gereon Müller (2008): Class Features as Probes. In: A. Bachrach & A. Nevins, eds.,
- Alexiadou, Artemis & Gereon Muller (2008): Class Features as Probes. In: A. Bachrach & A. Nevins, eds., Inflectional Identity. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 101–155.

Albright, Adam (2002): The Identification of Bases in Morphological Paradigms. PhD thesis, UCLA, Los

- Anderson, Stephen (1992): A-Morphous Morphology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Benua, Laura (1997): Transderivational identity: Phonological relations between words. PhD thesis, UMass, Amherst.
- Bills, Garland D., Bernardo Vallejo & Rudolph C. Troike (1969): An Introduction to Spoken Bolivian Quechua. University of Texas Press, Austin.
- Bowman, Samuel & Benjamin Lokshin (2014): Idiosyncratically Transparent Vowels in Kazakh. In: *Proceedings of the 2013 Annual Meeting on Phonology*. Linguistic Society of America, Washington, DC.
- Brown, Dunstan & Andrew Hippisley (2012): Network Morphology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Bruening, Benjamin (2017): Consolidated Morphology. Book Ms., University of Delaware.
- Caha, Pavel (2013): Explaining the Structure of Case Paradigms by the Mechanisms of Nanosyntax, Natural Language and Linguistic Theory 31, 1015–1066.
- Chomsky, Noam (1973): Conditions on Transformations. In: S. Anderson & P. Kiparsky, eds., A Festschrift for Morris Halle. Academic Press, New York, pp. 232–286.
- Chomsky, Noam (1995): The Minimalist Program. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass.
- Chomsky, Noam (2000): Minimalist Inquiries: The Framework. In: R. Martin, D. Michaels & J. Uriagereka, eds., Step by Step. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass., pp. 89–155.
- Chomsky, Noam (2001): Derivation by Phase. In: M. Kenstowicz, ed., Ken Hale. A Life in Language. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass., pp. 1–52.
- Chomsky, Noam (2008): On Phases. In: R. Freidin, C. Otero & M. L. Zubizarreta, eds., Foundational Issues in Linguistic Theory. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass., pp. 133–166.

- Clements, George, James McCloskey, Joan Maling & Annie Zaenen (1983): String-Vacuous Rule Application, Linguistic Inquiry 14, 1–17.
- Corbett, Greville & Norman Fraser (1993): Network Morphology: A DATR Account of Russian Nominal Inflection, *Journal of Linguistics* 29, 113–142.
- De Clercq, Karen & Guido Vanden Wyngaerd (2017): *ABA Revisited: Evidence from Czech and Latin Degree Morphology, Glossa 2(69), 1–32.
- Linguistic Analysis 33, 303–336.
- Embick, David (2010): Localism vs. Globalism in Morphology and Phonology. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass.
- Embick, David & Rolf Noyer (2001): Movement Operations after Syntax, Linguistic Inquiry 32, 555-595.

Embick, David (2007): Linearization and Local Dislocation: Derivational Mechanics and Interactions,

- Embick, David & Rolf Noyer (2007): Distributed Morphology and the Syntax/Morphology Interface. In: The Oxford Handbook of Linguistic Interfaces. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 289–324.
- Fox, Danny (2000): Economy and Semantic Interpretation. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass.
- Frampton, John (2002): Syncretism, Impoverishment, and the Structure of Person Features. In: M. Andronis, E. Debenport, A. Pycha & K. Yoshimura, eds., *Papers from the Chicago Linguistics Society Meeting*. Vol. 38, Chicago, pp. 207–222.
- Georgi, Doreen (2017): Patterns of Movement Reflexes as the Result of the Order of Merge and Agree, Linguistic Inquiry 48(4), 585–626.
- Halle, Morris & Alec Marantz (1993): Distributed Morphology and the Pieces of Inflection. In: K. Hale & S. J. Keyser, eds., The View from Building 20. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass., pp. 111–176.
- Hansson, Gunnar (2001): Theoretical and Typological Issues in Consonant Harmony. PhD thesis, University of California, Berkeley.
- Heck, Fabian & Gereon Müller (2007): Extremely Local Optimization. In: E. Brainbridge & B. Agbayani, eds., Proceedings of the 26th WECOL. California State University, Fresno, pp. 170–183.
- Heck, Fabian & Gereon Müller (2013): Extremely Local Optimization. In: H. Broekhuis & R. Vogel, eds., Linguistic Derivations and Filtering. Equinox, Sheffield, pp. 135–166.

References

- Heck, Fabian & Gereon Müller (2016): On Accelerating and Decelarating Movement: From Minimalist Preference Principles to Harmonic Serialism. In: G. Legendre, M. Putnam, H. de Swart & E. Zaroukian, eds., Optimality-Theoretic Syntax, Semantics, and Pragmatics. Oxford University Press, Universität Leipzig, pp. 78–110.
- Hyman, Larry (1994): Cyclic Phonlogy and Morphology in Cibemba. In: J. Cole & C. Kisseberth, eds., Perspectives in Phonology. CSLI, Stanford, pp. 81–112.
- Hyman, Larry (2002): Cyclicity and Base Non-Identity. In: D. Restle & D. Zaefferer, eds., Sounds and Systems. Studies in Structure and Change. A Festschrift for Theo Vennemann. De Gruyter, Berlin, pp. 223–239.
- Hyman, Larry (2003): Suffix Ordering in Bantu: A Morphocentric Approach, *Yearbook of Morphology* 2002, 245–281.
- Kenstowicz, Michael (2002): Paradigmatic uniformity and contrast. In: A. Csirmaz, Z. Li, A. Nevins, O. Vaysman & M. Wagner, eds., MIT Working Papers in Linguistics 42: Phonological Answers (and their Corresponding Questions). MIT, Cambridge, Mass.
- Khan, Geoffrey (2008): The Neo-Aramaic Dialect of Barwar. Volume One: Grammar. Brill, Leiden, Boston.
- Kiparsky, Paul (1982): Lexical Morphology and Phonology. In: I.-S. Yang, ed., *Linguistics in the Morning Calm*. Hanshin Publishing Company, Seoul, pp. 3–91.
- Kiparsky, Paul (2017): Lectures on Strata in Phonology and Morphology. Ms., Stanford University. (Brugmann Lectures, Universität Leipzig).
- Korsah, Sampson & Andrew Murphy (2019): Tonal Reflexes of Movement in Asante Twi. Ms., Universität Leipzig. To appear in *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory*.
- Kushnir, Yuriy (2018): Prosodic Patterns in Lithuanian Morphology. PhD thesis, Universität Leipzig.
- Marvin, Tatjana (2002): Topics in the Syntax and Stress of Words. PhD thesis, MIT, Cambridge, Mass.
- McCarthy, John (2010): An Introduction to Harmonic Serialism, *Language and Linguistics Compass* 4, 1001–1018.
- McCarthy, John (2016): The Theory and Practice of Harmonic Serialism. In: J. McCarthy & J. Pater, eds., Harmonic Grammar and Harmonic Serialism. Equinox, Sheffield, pp. 47–87.

- McCloskey, James (1979): Transformational Syntax and Model Theoretic Semantics. A Case Study in Modern Irish. Reidel, Dordrecht.
- Müller, Gereon (2020): Inflectional Morphology in Harmonic Serialism. Advances in Optimality Theory, Equinox, Sheffield. http://home.uni-leipzig.de/muellerg/harm/imhs.pdf.
- Myler, Neil (2013): Linearization and Post-Syntactic Operations in the Quechua DP. In: T. Bieberauer & I. Roberts, eds., *Challenges to Linearization*. Mouton de Gruyter, Berlin, pp. 171–210.
- Myler, Neil (2017): Exceptions to the Mirror Principle and Morphophonological 'Action at a Distance'. In: H. Newell, M. Noonan, G. Piggott & L. Travis, eds., *The Structure of Words at the Interfaces*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Nissenbaum, Jon (2000): Investigations of Covert Phrase Movement. PhD thesis, MIT, Cambridge, Mass. Noyer, Rolf (1992): Features, Positions, and Affixes in Autonomous Morphological Structure. PhD thesis, MIT, Cambridge, Mass.
- Noyer, Rolf (1997): Features, Positions and Affixes in Autonomous Morphological Structure. Garland Publishing, New York.
- Ouhalla, Jamal (1991): Functional Categories and Parametric Variation. Routledge, London.
- Rhodes, Russell (2012): Vowel Harmony as Agreement by Correspondence, *UC Berkeley Phonology Lab Annual Report* 8.
- Rose, Sharon & Rachel Walker (2004): A Typology of Consonant Agreement as Correspondence, *Language* 80, 475–531.
- Ryan, Kevin (2010): Variable Affix Order: Grammar and Learning, Language 86, 758-791.
- Starke, Michal (2001): Move Dissolves Into Merge: A Theory of Locality. PhD thesis, University of Geneva.
- Stump, Gregory (2001): Inflectional Morphology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Stump, Gregory (2016): Inflectional Paradigms: Content and Form at the Syntax-Morphology Interface. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Trommer, Jochen (2001): Distributed Optimality. PhD thesis, Universität Potsdam.
- Trommer, Jochen (2008): Coherence in Affix Order, Zeitschrift für Sprachwissenschaft 27(1).
- Trommer, Jochen (2011): Phonological Aspects of Western Nilotic Mutation Morphology. Habilitation thesis, Universität Leipzig.
- Urk, Coppe van (2015): A Uniform Syntax for Phrasal Movement: A Dinka Bor Case Study. PhD thesis, MIT, Cambridge, Mass.
- Weber, David (1989): A Grammar of Huallaga (Huanuco) Quechua. University of California Press, Berkeley, C